

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

BEFORE THE
CALIFORNIA INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT BOARD LOCAL
ASSISTANCE AND PLANNING COMMITTEE

IN THE MATTER OF THE:)
)
LOCAL ASSISTANCE AND PLANNING)
COMMITTEE MEETING)
_____)

DATE AND TIME: WEDNESDAY, MAY 14, 1997
9:30 A.M.

PLACE: BOARD HEARING ROOM
8800 CAL CENTER DRIVE SACRAMENTO,
CALIFORNIA

REPORTER: BETH C. DRAIN, RPR, CSR
CERTIFICATE NO. 7152

BRS FILE NO.: 39109

APPEARANCES

MR. WESLEY CHESBRO, CHAIRMAN MR. ROBERT C. FRAZEE, MEMBER
MS. JANET GOTCH, MEMBER

STAFF PRESENT

MR. ELLIOT BLOCK, LEGAL COUNSEL
MS. KATHY MARSH, COMMITTEE SECRETARY

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

I N D E X

PAGE_NO. _____

CALL TO ORDER	7
EX PARTE COMMUNICATIONS	7
ITEM 1: REPORT FROM THE DIVERSION, PLANNING AND LOCAL ASSISTANCE DIVISION.	8
ITEM 2: REPORT ON THE WASTE PREVENTION OF THE WASTE PREVENTION AND MARKET DEVELOPMENT DIVISION.	17
ITEM 3: CONSIDERATION OF CONSENT AGENDA	22
ITEM 6: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT, SUMMARY PLAN, AND COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT PLAN FOR CALAVERAS COUNTY	
ITEM 9: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT, HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE ELEMENT, AND NONDISPOSAL FACILITY ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF CUDAHY, LOS ANGELES COUNTY	
ITEM 10: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT, HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE ELEMENT, AND NONDISPOSAL FACILITY ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF SOUTH GATE, LOS ANGELES COUNTY	
ITEM 11: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT FOR MENDOCINO COUNTY	

ITEM 12: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE PREVIOUSLY CONDITIONALLY APPROVED SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF HUNTINGTON BEACH, ORANGE COUNTY

ITEM 14: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE PREVIOUSLY DISAPPROVED SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF COLTON, SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY

ITEM 15: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE PREVIOUSLY DISAPPROVED SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF FONTANA, SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY

ITEM 18: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE PREVIOUSLY CONDITIONALLY APPROVED SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF MILPITAS, SANTA CLARA COUNTY

ITEM 19: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE PREVIOUSLY CONDITIONALLY APPROVED SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT FOR UNINCORPORATED SOLANO COUNTY

ITEM 21: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE PREVIOUSLY CONDITIONALLY APPROVED SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF CAMARILLO, VENTURA COUNTY

ITEM 22: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE PREVIOUSLY CONDITIONALLY APPROVED SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF SANTA PAULA, VENTURA COUNTY

ITEM 23: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE PREVIOUSLY CONDITIONALLY APPROVED SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF THOUSAND OAKS, VENTURA COUNTY.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

ITEM 4: CONSIDERATION OF APPROVAL OF THE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PLAN.

STAFF PRESENTATION	34
PUBLIC TESTIMONY	
COMMITTEE DISCUSSION	42 ACTION
46	

ITEM 5: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS TO CORRECT THE 1990 BASE-YEAR DISPOSAL RATE FOR THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF FREMONT, ALAMEDA COUNTY

STAFF PRESENTATION	23
PUBLIC TESTIMONY	
COMMITTEE DISCUSSION	
ACTION	25

ITEM 7: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE NONDISPOSAL FACILITY ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF FOWLER, FRESNO COUNTY.

STAFF PRESENTATION	47
PUBLIC TESTIMONY	
COMMITTEE DISCUSSION	48
ACTION	49

ITEM 8: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS TO CORRECT THE BASE-YEAR TONNAGES FOR THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF BAKERSFIELD, KERN COUNTY

STAFF PRESENTATION	25
PUBLIC TESTIMONY	
COMMITTEE DISCUSSION	26
ACTION	27

ITEM 13: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS TO CORRECT THE BASE-YEAR, 1995 AND 2000, PROJECTIONS FOR THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT FOR THE UNINCORPORATED ORANGE COUNTY.

STAFF PRESENTATION	27
PUBLIC TESTIMONY	
COMMITTEE DISCUSSION	
ACTION	29

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

ITEM 16: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS TO
CORRECT THE BASE-YEAR TONNAGES FOR THE PREVIOUSLY
APPROVED SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT FOR THE
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

STAFF PRESENTATION	29
PUBLIC TESTIMONY	
COMMITTEE DISCUSSION	
ACTION	31

ITEM 17: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS TO
CORRECT BASE-YEAR GENERATION TONNAGE FOR THE PREVIOUSLY
APPROVED SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT FOR THE
CITY OF MANTECA, SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY

STAFF PRESENTATION	31
PUBLIC TESTIMONY	
COMMITTEE DISCUSSION	
ACTION	32

ITEM 20: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS TO
CORRECT THE BASE-YEAR GENERATION TONNAGE FOR THE
PREVIOUSLY APPROVED SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING
ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF MODESTO, STANISLAUS COUNTY.

STAFF PRESENTATION	32
PUBLIC TESTIMONY	
COMMITTEE DISCUSSION	
ACTION	33

ITEM 24: OPEN DISCUSSION --

ITEM 25: ADJOURNMENT 49

1 SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA; WEDNESDAY, MAY 14, 1997

2 9:30 A.M.

3

4 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: GOOD MORNING. THIS IS
5 THE MEETING OF THE LOCAL ASSISTANCE AND PLANNING
6 COMMITTEE OF THE INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT BOARD
7 FOR WEDNESDAY, MAY 14TH, AND WE WILL BEGIN BY
8 CALLING THE ROLL.

9 THE SECRETARY: COMMITTEE MEMBERS FRAZEE.

10 MEMBER FRAZEE: HERE.

11 THE SECRETARY: GOTCH.

12 MEMBER GOTCH: HERE.

13 THE SECRETARY: CHAIRMAN CHESBRO.

14 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: HERE.

15 DOES ANYONE HAVE ANY EX PARTES THEY
16 WOULD LIKE TO DISCLOSE?

17 MEMBER GOTCH: I THINK I'M ALL CAUGHT UP.
18 YESTERDAY -- I THINK I'M ALL CAUGHT UP WITH
19 EVERYTHING. I DON'T THINK I NEED TO GO THROUGH
20 IT. THANK YOU.

21 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: I HAVE NOT YET GOTTEN
22 AROUND TO SIGNING THE FORM FOR -- MAYBE I HAVE AND
23 I DIDN'T REALIZE IT. I DON'T KNOW WHETHER I DID,
24 BUT I'LL EX PARTE-IZE IT ANYWAY. I MET WITH
25 REPRESENTATIVES OF THE CITY OF SAN FRANCISCO AND

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 NORCAL YESTERDAY REGARDING THEIR PLANNING ITEM
2 THAT'S ON THE AGENDA TODAY, VERONICA KOEPP, DENISE
3 DEL MATIER, LARRY SWEETSER, AND RON BRAND. AND
4 YOU SAID NONE.

5 MEMBER FRAZEE: NONE.

6 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: WE WILL MOVE TO AGENDA
7 ITEM 1, WHICH IS AN ORAL REPORT BY JUDY FRIEDMAN
8 REGARDING ACTIVITIES OF THE DIVERSION, PLANNING,
9 AND LOCAL ASSISTANCE DIVISION.

10 MS. FRIEDMAN: GOOD MORNING, CHAIRMAN
11 CHESBRO AND COMMITTEE MEMBERS GOTCH AND FRAZEE.
12 THIS IS AN UPDATE OF SOME OF THE MAJOR ACTIVITIES
13 OF THE DIVERSION, PLANNING, AND LOCAL ASSISTANCE
14 DIVISION.

15 FIRST AN UPDATE ON LOCAL PLANS.
16 ELEMENTS OF 19 JURISDICTIONS ARE ON TODAY'S
17 AGENDA, AND THIS REPRESENTS TEN SRRE'S, TWO
18 HHWE'S, THREE NDFE'S, TWO SITING ELEMENTS, ONE
19 SUMMARY PLAN, AND ONE CIWMP.

20 ON TODAY'S CONSENT AGENDA ARE EIGHT
21 ITEMS THAT REVISE SRRE PROJECTIONS THAT WERE
22 PREVIOUSLY TOO LOW FOR FULL APPROVAL, TWO OF THESE
23 UPGRADE PREVIOUSLY DISAPPROVED SRRE'S TO FULL
24 APPROVAL AND SIX OTHERS UPGRADE CONDITIONAL
25 APPROVALS TO FULL APPROVALS. THESE ITEMS ARE

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 COMING FORWARD AS A RESULT OF RECENT ENFORCEMENT
2 AGENDA ITEMS RELATED TO NONSUBMITTALS AND
3 CONDITIONAL OR DISAPPROVALS OF SRRE'S.

4 SIX OTHER ITEMS WILL BE PRESENTED BY
5 STAFF THAT DEAL WITH PROPOSED BASE-YEAR REVISIONS
6 IN PREVIOUSLY APPROVED SRRE'S, AND THESE ITEMS ARE
7 A RESULT OF THE BOARD'S ACTION IN MARCH ON THE
8 MEASUREMENT ACCURACY WORKING GROUP'S RECOMMEN-
9 DATIONS OF ACCEPTABLE METHODS FOR REVISING A
10 JURISDICTION'S BASE YEAR AND/OR REPORTING YEAR
11 TONNAGE AMOUNTS BASED ON MORE ACCURATE
12 INFORMATION. BOARD STAFF WILL CONTINUE TO BRING
13 SUCH ITEMS FORWARD FOR BOARD CONSIDERATION AS
THEY
14 COMPLETE THEIR ANALYSES OF JURISDICTIONS' ANNUAL
15 REPORTS AND REQUESTS FOR REVISING BASE-YEAR
AND/OR
16 REPORTING YEAR INACCURACIES.

17 UPDATE ON COMPLIANCE STATUS: AS A
18 RESULT OF THE BOARD ACTION IN SAN BERNARDINO LAST
19 MONTH, HERE'S THE FIRST OF THE COMPLIANCE STATUS
20 REPORTS WITH REGARD TO DELINQUENT JURISDICTIONS
21 AND SUBMITTAL OF THEIR ELEMENTS AND/OR
22 DOCUMENTATION TO MAKE THE SUBMITTALS COMPLETE.

23 IF YOU RECALL, THE BOARD DIRECTED
ME

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 TO PROVIDE MONTHLY UPDATES ON THAT, SO THIS IS
THE
25 FIRST OF THOSE. ELEVEN JURISDICTIONS HAD

1 COMPLIANCE DATES PRIOR TO THIS COMMITTEE MEETING,
2 AND SIX OF THE 11 HAVE SUBMITTED THE ELEMENTS
3 AND/OR DOCUMENTATION TO MAKE THE SUBMITTALS
4 COMPLETE.

5 HOWEVER, SOME OF THE RECENTLY
6 SUBMITTED DOCUMENTS ARE NOT COMPLETE OR DATES ON
7 COMPLIANCE SCHEDULES HAVE SLIPPED. STAFF WILL BE
8 NOTIFYING THESE JURISDICTIONS AS APPROPRIATE TO
9 EITHER MAKE THEIR SUBMITTALS COMPLETE OR
10 REASONABLY REVISE THEIR COMPLIANCE SCHEDULE. IF
11 WE DON'T GET THE APPROPRIATE RESPONSE, STAFF WILL
12 BE SENDING HEARING NOTICES TO THOSE JURISDICTIONS.
13 JURISDICTIONS IN THESE CATEGORIES ARE BIG BEAR
14 LAKE, CHOWCHILLA, CITY OF INDUSTRY, MARICOPA, AND
15 NEEDLES. SO WE WILL PROVIDE YOU WITH FURTHER
16 UPDATES AS WE GO ALONG.

17 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: NOT AN AUSPICIOUS
18 START FOR THAT UNFORTUNATELY SMALL GROUP, BUT I
19 CERTAINLY ENCOURAGE STAFF TO PROCEED WITH THOSE
20 STEPS. I HOPE THAT REFLECTS THE COMMITTEE'S
21 SENSE.

22 MS. FRIEDMAN: UPDATE ON LOCAL
ASSISTANCE

23 ACTIVITIES. THE OFFICE OF LOCAL ASSISTANCE
TOPIC

24 PAGE WENT ON-LINE. IT IS LOCATED ON THE BOARD'S

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

25 HOME PAGE UNDER LOCAL GOVERNMENT. THE SUBJECT

1 AREAS INCLUDE WHAT WE DO, WHICH RELATES TO THE
2 FUNCTIONS OF THE OFFICE OF LOCAL ASSISTANCE;
3 MEETING AND WORKSHOP NOTICES; PUBLICATIONS
4 AVAILABLE, AND A LISTING OF OLA STAFF WITH PHONE
5 NUMBERS AND ASSIGNED JURISDICTIONS TO THE STAFF.

6 STAFF CONTINUES TO MEET WITH
7 REPRESENTATIVES FROM THE OFFICE OF EMERGENCY
8 SERVICES - CALIFORNIA SPECIALIZED TRAINING
9 INSTITUTE, THE TRAINING ARM OF OES, TO FINALIZE
10 THE UPCOMING DISASTER PLAN WORKSHOPS. STAFF
11 FINALIZED THE DATES AND LOCATIONS AND AGENDA FOR
12 THE WORKSHOPS AND ARE NOW FINALIZING THE
13 INVITATIONS OF ATTENDEES, SPEAKERS, AND PANEL
14 MEMBERS.

15 DISASTER PLAN WORKSHOPS WILL BE HELD
16 IN THE FOLLOWING DATES AND LOCATIONS: ON MAY 22D
17 IN SACRAMENTO; JUNE 12TH, IRVINE; JUNE 19TH,
18 OAKLAND; JUNE 23D, VENTURA; AND JUNE 26TH, SANTA
19 CLARITA.

20 WORKSHOP PARTICIPANTS TARGETED
21 INCLUDE, IN PART, SOLID WASTE MANAGERS, LOCAL
22 ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES, EMERGENCY RESPONSE
23 COORDINATORS, AND PUBLIC WORKS OFFICIALS. STAFF
24 IS ALSO CONSIDERING ORGANIZING WORKSHOPS LATER IN
25 THE SUMMER TARGETING THE SOLID WASTE COLLECTION

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 AND HAULING SERVICES AND ASSOCIATIONS.

2 STAFF IS ALSO WORKING WITH THE
3 GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF EMERGENCY SERVICES PLANS UNIT
4 TO INCORPORATE POLICY LANGUAGE INTO THE STATE
5 EMERGENCY PLAN, ENCOURAGING DIVERSION OF DEBRIS
6 GENERATED AFTER A DISASTER. SO WE CONTINUE TO
7 WORK WITH OES TO GET THAT MESSAGE ACROSS.

8 THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT TECHNICAL
9 ADVISORY COMMITTEE IS CURRENTLY MEETING TODAY AND
10 TOMORROW IN EUREKA. ALONG WITH OTHER AGENDA
11 ITEMS, THE LGTAC WILL HOLD A SPECIAL MEETING WITH
12 THE EUREKA JOINT POWERS AUTHORITY AND MEET WITH
13 THE HUMBOLDT COUNTY LOCAL TASK FORCE.

14 AN UPDATE ON USED OIL AND HOUSEHOLD
15 HAZARDOUS WASTE. DURING THE PERIOD OF APRIL 15TH
16 TO MAY 14TH, 39 USED OIL COLLECTION CENTERS WERE
17 CERTIFIED AND 45 CERTIFIED CENTERS WERE
18 RECERTIFIED. THE USED OIL RECYCLING PROGRAM
19 PARTICIPANTS CURRENTLY TOTAL 2,885.

20 STAFF COMPLETED A REVIEW OF
21 APPLICATIONS FOR THE FIFTH CYCLE USED OIL BLOCK
22 GRANT. THE ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE AND BOARD
23 WILL AWARD BLOCK GRANTS IN MAY, THIS MONTH. A
24 TOTAL OF \$11,807,000 IS RECOMMENDED FOR FUNDING.
25 ALL 58 COUNTIES AND ALL BUT 18 CITIES IN THE STATE

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 APPLIED EITHER INDIVIDUALLY OR AS PART OF A
2 REGIONAL PROGRAM, AND 98 PERCENT OF THE STATE'S
3 POPULATION WILL NOW BE SERVED BY A USED OIL BLOCK
4 GRANT.

5 STAFF COMPLETED REVIEW OF
6 APPLICATIONS FOR THE SECOND CYCLE USED OIL
7 RESEARCH, TESTING, AND DEMONSTRATION GRANTS, AND
8 THE ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE AND BOARD WILL
9 CONSIDER THESE AWARDS THIS MONTH. A TOTAL OF OVER
10 THREE MILLION AND 17 AWARDS ARE RECOMMENDED FOR
11 FUNDING.

12 LOS ANGELES AREA GRANT MANAGERS ARE
13 PLANNING REGIONAL HALF-DAY WORKSHOPS FOR NEW BLOCK
14 GRANT RECIPIENTS, AND THESE WORKSHOPS WILL FOCUS
15 ON TECHNIQUES TO SUCCESSFULLY ADMINISTER USED OIL
16 GRANTS.

17 TODAY STAFF ARE PARTICIPATING IN A
18 DEPARTMENT OF TOXIC SUBSTANCE CONTROL WORKSHOP IN
19 LOS ANGELES FOR USED OIL HAULERS AND OPERATORS OF
20 TRANSFER AND STORAGE FACILITIES. THE WORKSHOP IS
21 PART OF A DTSC EFFORT TO RAISE THE LEVEL OF
22 COMPLIANCE OF THE HAULERS. THIS WILL BE FOLLOWED
23 WITH INCREASED ENFORCEMENT IN THE COMING MONTHS.
24 THIS HAS BEEN AN AREA THAT WE'VE BEEN CLOSELY
25 WATCHING AND ARE VERY EXCITED ABOUT THIS WORK.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 AN UPDATE ON STATE PROJECT RECYCLE.
2 DURING THE LAST QUARTER OF 1996, STATE FACILITIES
3 RECYCLED OVER 7,000 TONS OF MATERIALS, AND STAFF
4 RECENTLY COMPLETED THE BIDDING PROCESS FOR THE
5 COLLECTION OF NONCONFIDENTIAL SCRAP PAPER AT 19
6 STATE OFFICE LOCATIONS IN THE SAN FRANCISCO BAY
7 AREA. THE APPARENT SUCCESSFUL BIDDER FOR THE
8 CONTRACT PERIOD 7/97 THROUGH 6/99 IS NORTHERN
9 CALIFORNIA PULP AND PAPER, INC., OF SAN LEANDRO,
10 AND THE BID WAS FOR A SINGLE ADVANCE PAYMENT OF
11 OVER \$15,000.

12 STAFF ATTENDED AND STAFFED A BOOTH
13 AT THE CALIFORNIA ASSOCIATION OF SCHOOL BUSINESS
14 OFFICIALS CONFERENCE HELD IN SAN DIEGO, AND THIS
15 CONFERENCE PROVIDED STAFF WITH THE OPPORTUNITY TO
16 MEET WITH BUSINESS SERVICE OFFICERS FROM SCHOOL
17 DISTRICTS FROM ALL OVER THE STATE, AND THEY'RE
18 INSTRUMENTAL IN RECYCLING AND DIVERSION
19 OPPORTUNITIES AT STATE UNIVERSITIES.

20 NOW I'D LIKE TO TURN THE
21 PRESENTATION OVER TO MR. MITCH DELMAGE OF THE USED
22 OIL AND HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE BRANCH, WHO WILL
23 DESCRIBE THE SIGNIFICANCE OF A PLAQUE WE RECENTLY
24 RECEIVED AND THE VALUE OF REREFINED MOTOR OIL.
25 MR. DELMAGE: GOOD MORNING, CHAIRMAN

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 CHESBRO, COMMITTEE MEMBERS. I'M PLEASED TO GIVE
2 YOU AN UPDATE ON ONE OF OUR MOST SUCCESSFUL
3 GRANTEES. ABOUT TWO YEARS AGO THE BOARD AWARDED
4 THE FIRST CYCLE OF THE USED OIL RESEARCH, TESTING,
5 AND DEMONSTRATION GRANTS. ONE OF THOSE GRANTS WAS
6 TO DEMONSTRATE THAT REREFINED OIL WAS AS GOOD AS
7 REGULAR CRUDE BASED OIL. THE UNION 76 PRODUCTS
8 COMPANY AND THE GOLDEN WEST MOTOR SPORTS TEAM
9 JOINED FORCES TO DISPEL SOME OF THE NEGATIVE MYTHS
10 ABOUT THE REREFINED OIL.

11 TO DO THIS, THE GOLDEN WEST TEAM
12 USED THE 76 COMPANY'S REREFINED OIL PRODUCT FIRE
13 BIRD IN ONE OF THEIR NASCARS. AND FOR THE '95-'96
14 SEASON, THEY RAN THE REREFINED OIL IN THE CAR FOR
15 EVERY RACE. AND AT THE END OF THE SEASON, THEY
16 WON THE CHAMPIONSHIP. THIS WAS THE FIRST TIME
17 THAT A NASCAR USED REREFINED OIL, AND THEY PROVED
18 THE POINT THAT IT WAS A GOOD OIL PRODUCT, AS GOOD
19 AS A VIRGIN BASED.

20 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: HAVEN'T BEEN ABLE TO
21 DETERMINE, THOUGH, THAT THE SUCCESS WAS CAUSED BY
22 THE REREFINED OIL?

23 MR. DELMAGE: THAT COMES THE SECOND YEAR.
24 THE '96-'97 SEASON WAS EQUALLY SUCCESSFUL. HAVING
25 SUCCEEDED SO WELL WITH THE SOUTHWEST TOUR SERIES,

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 THEY MOVED UP TO THE PREMIERE SERIES, THE WINSTON
2 WEST. THIS MEANT NEW CARS WITH BIGGER ENGINES,
3 MORE EXPENSIVE ENGINES, AND QUITE AN INVESTMENT TO
4 TRUST TO REREFINED OIL. THE TEAM ROSE TO THE
5 CHALLENGE AND NOT ONLY WON THE WINSTON WEST, BUT
6 WERE THE FIRST TEAM, NASCAR TEAM, TO WIN IN THE
7 ROOKIE YEAR.

8 THEY WERE HONORED WITH THAT -- FOR
9 THAT WIN BY BEING SENT TO THE FIRST NASCAR RACE
10 THAT WAS RUN IN JAPAN, SUSUKA, JAPAN. THIS GAVE
11 REREFINED OIL, AS WELL AS THE BOARD, INTERNATIONAL
12 EXPOSURE.

13 THROUGHOUT BOTH SERIES, BOTH
14 SEASONS, THE CAR RAN WITHOUT ANY OIL-RELATED
15 ENGINE PROBLEMS AT ALL. SO THIS IS KIND OF A
16 TESTAMENT THAT, YOU KNOW, IT MEETS THE APA
17 STANDARDS. THERE'S BEEN A LOT OF BAD TALK
ABOUT

18 REREFINED OIL, AND I THINK WE'RE GETTING THAT
19 BEHIND US WITH DEMONSTRATIONS LIKE THIS.

20 THE RACE TEAM HAS ALSO BEEN VERY
21 EFFECTIVE IN PRESENTING THAT MESSAGE TO THE
22 PUBLIC, ESPECIALLY THE DO-IT-YOURSELF OIL
CHANGERS

23 WHO THEY HAVE A GOOD RAPPORT WITH. THEY WORK

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

WITH

24 A LOT OF THE LOCAL GOVERNMENTS DOWN IN SOUTHERN
25 CALIFORNIA, A LOT OF THE AUTO SHOWS, AND

1 THROUGHOUT THE VALLEY. AND THEY HAVE BEEN VERY
2 SUCCESSFUL. EVEN THE DIEHARD GEARHEADS HAVE A
3 HARD TIME DISPELLING THE SUCCESS WHEN THEY TALK
4 DIRECTLY TO THESE WINNING PIT CREWS.

5 IN APPRECIATION OF OUR SUPPORT AND
6 TO COMMEMORATE THEIR '96-'97 CHAMPIONSHIP SERIES,
7 THEY PRESENTED US WITH THIS PLAQUE. SO I WANTED
8 TO GIVE YOU A CHANCE TO SEE THAT, AND WE'LL MAKE
9 SURE IT GETS DISPLAYED PROPERLY.

10 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: EXCELLENT.

11 MR. DELMAGE: ANY QUESTIONS?

12 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: NOT AT THIS POINT.
13 GREAT PROJECT.

14 MS. FRIEDMAN: AND THAT CONCLUDES OUR
15 PRESENTATION.

16 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: THANK YOU VERY MUCH,
17 JUDY.

18 AND NEXT WE'LL HEAR AGENDA ITEM 3,
19 WHICH IS AN ORAL REPORT BY CAREN TRGOVCICH
20 REPRESENTING THE WASTE PREVENTION AND MARKET
21 DEVELOPMENT DIVISION.

22 MS. TRGOVCICH: GOOD MORNING, MR.
23 CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS. I HAVE A VERY BRIEF REPORT
24 FOR YOU THIS MORNING, THREE ITEMS THAT I'D LIKE
25 TO
HIGHLIGHT. THE FIRST IS A CONTINUATION OF AN

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

ITEM

1 THAT WAS BEFORE THE FULL BOARD LAST MONTH, AND
2 THAT IS THE CALCULATION OF THE RPPC RATE FOR
3 CALENDAR YEAR 1996. AS YOU ARE AWARE, THE BOARD
4 APPROVED A METHODOLOGY FOR BOTH THE NUMERATOR AND
5 THE DENOMINATOR.

6 THE NUMERATOR WAS A TWO-PART
7 METHODOLOGY OR, RATHER, A METHODOLOGY THAT HAD A
8 PREFERRED APPROACH WITH A FALLBACK. AND I'M
9 PLEASED TO LET YOU KNOW THAT WE HAVE INITIATED
10 SOME DISCUSSIONS WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVA-
11 TION, AND THEY HAVE AGREED TO ASSIST US ON THE
12 PRINCIPAL METHODOLOGY THAT THE BOARD APPROVED FOR
13 THE NUMERATOR, WHICH IS SURVEYING OF PROCESSORS.

14 SO THAT'S GOING TO GREATLY ASSIST
15 US. IT WILL PROVIDE THE CONTACTS WITH THE
16 INDUSTRY THAT WE AS THE STAFF OF THE INTEGRATED
17 WASTE MANAGEMENT BOARD MAY NOT HAVE, AND IT WILL
18 ALSO ENSURE A DEGREE OF CONFIDENTIALITY AROUND

THE

19 INFORMATION BECAUSE OF THE STATUTORY PROVISIONS
20 PERTAINING TO DOC THAT THEY ARE ABLE TO TAKE
21 ADVANTAGE OF. SO THAT'S VERY GOOD NEWS FOR US.

22 AND WE ARE LOOKING TO SCHEDULE AN
23 ITEM BEFORE THIS COMMITTEE IN JULY, BRINGING BACK
24 THE CALCULATION OF THE RATE. WE NEED SOME TIME
TO

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

25 BE ABLE TO EFFECT THE SURVEY OF THE PROCESSORS

1 THEMSELVES.

2 AS FAR AS WRAP APPLICATIONS ARE
3 CONCERNED, I JUST WANTED TO LET YOU ALL KNOW, AND
4 ANYONE ELSE OUT THERE WHO'S INTERESTED, THAT THE
5 WRAP APPLICATION PERIOD IS OPEN RIGHT NOW. WE'RE
6 ACCEPTING APPLICATIONS FOR THE 1997 AWARD CYCLE.
7 THE APPLICATION PERIOD RUNS FROM MAY 1ST THROUGH
8 JUNE 30TH. WE HAVE DISTRIBUTED APPROXIMATELY
9 70,000 FLIERS ANNOUNCING THE AVAILABILITY OF THE
10 AWARD PROGRAM.

11 THE FLIERS WERE DISTRIBUTED TO
LOCAL
12 GOVERNMENTS, BUSINESS GROUPS, AND OTHER
PROMOTERS

13 OF THE PROGRAM THROUGHOUT THE STATE. WE'RE
14 HOPEFUL THAT WE WILL EXCEED THE APPLICATION
RATE

15 FOR LAST YEAR, AND WE'RE ENCOURAGING ANY STAFF
WHO

16 INTERACT WITH THE PRIVATE SECTOR, ANY MEMBERS
OF

17 THE BOARD AS WELL TO ENCOURAGE THEM TO CONTACT
US

18 TO GET AN APPLICATION BECAUSE WE REALLY VIEW
THIS

19 AS OUR OPPORTUNITY TO HIGHLIGHT THOSE

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

BUSINESSES

20 THAT HAVE REALLY OUTPERFORMED THEMSELVES IN
THE

21 AREA OF WASTE REDUCTION. SO WE ARE LOOKING

22 FORWARD TO REPORTING TO YOU ON THE SUCCESS OF
THIS

23 APPLICATION CYCLE IN THE COMING MONTHS.

24 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: DO YOU KNOW IF

WE'RE

25 DOING THE KIND OF PUBLICITY OUTREACH TO LET
THE

1 BUSINESS COMMUNITY KNOW ABOUT THE OPPORTUNITY?

2 MS. TRGOVCICH: WE ARE DOING SIMILAR
3 TYPES OF OUTREACH. THE APPLICATIONS ALSO CAN BE
4 VIEWED THROUGH OUR INTERNET SITE AS WELL, SO
5 THERE'S INFORMATION THERE. WE'RE DOING OUR BEST
6 TO GET THE WORD OUT. AS YOU ARE AWARE, THIS YEAR
7 WE HAVE A SPECIFIC FORMAT OR APPROACH FOR
8 COMPANIES THAT ARE APPLYING FOR MULTIPLE STORES.

9 AS YOU'RE AWARE, TARGET, LAST YEAR,
10 I THINK, THEY APPLIED FOR 142 OF THEIR STORES. I
11 QUITE FORGET WHAT THE NUMBER ACTUALLY WAS. BUT SO
12 WHAT WE'VE TRIED TO DO IS TO BE ABLE TO INCLUDE AN
13 APPROACH FOR MULTIPLE AWARDS AS WELL. SO WE'RE
14 TRYING TO GET THAT OUTREACH GOING AND MAKE THE
15 INFORMATION AROUND THE PROGRAM AS AVAILABLE AS
16 POSSIBLE.

17 AND JUST TO WIND UP THIS REPORT,
18 JUST WE WANTED TO LET YOU KNOW THAT THE PRESS
19 INTEREST IN THE GRASSCYCLING CAMPAIGN CONTINUES.
20 IN ADDITION TO THE TELEVISION SPOTS THAT MEMBER
21 FRAZEE DID LAST MONTH AND THE OTHER ONES THAT I
22 MENTIONED, TWO ADDITIONAL TELEVISION NEWS
23 BROADCASTS HAVE BEEN CONDUCTED, AND WE'RE NOW
24 PREPARING FOR TELEVISION APPEARANCES IN THE
25 SACRAMENTO, REDDING, AND SAN DIEGO AREAS. THIS

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 HAS TRULY BEEN ONE OF OUR BEST OUTREACH CAMPAIGNS,
2 TIMED VERY WELL WITH THE SPRING SALES.

3 AND I BELIEVE THAT THE STORES CAN
4 ATTEST TO THE FACT THAT THE CAMPAIGN HAS AIDED
5 THEM IN THEIR SALES OF THE MULCHING MOWERS. SO
6 WE'RE LOOKING FORWARD TO CONTINUING THE SUCCESS
7 WITH THIS PROGRAM. AND AS MEMBERS, YOU WILL
8 CONTINUE TO HEAR ABOUT PRESS OPPORTUNITIES AS THEY
9 BECOME AVAILABLE.

10 AND THAT CONCLUDES MY REPORT.

11 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: ANY QUESTIONS? THANK
12 YOU VERY MUCH, CAREN.

13 AGENDA ITEM 3 IS CONSIDERATION OF
14 THE CONSENT AGENDA. THERE ARE COPIES IN THE BACK
15 OF THE ROOM, AND MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC OR MEMBERS
16 OF THE COMMITTEE ARE WELCOME TO ASK THAT ANY OF
17 THESE ITEMS BE PULLED FOR DISCUSSION. THE CONSENT
18 AGENDA CONSISTS OF ITEMS 6, 9 THROUGH 12, 14, 15,
19 18, 19, 21, 22, AND 23.

20 IN ADDITION TO THAT, WE HAD PUT OUT
21 THE WORD TO SOME OF THE JURISDICTIONS THAT HAVE
22 ISSUES AROUND THEIR BASE-YEAR NUMBERS ADJUSTMENT
23 THAT WE WERE GOING TO PUT THOSE ON CONSENT AND WE
24 DECIDED NOT TO. I THINK WE TOLD EVERYBODY, WE GOT
25 BACK TO EVERYBODY, BUT THOSE ARE NOT ON CONSENT

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 BECAUSE OF THE FACT THAT THERE'S BEEN SOME CONCERN
2 ABOUT HOW WE'RE IMPLEMENTING THAT ON THE PART OF
3 SOME LOCAL JURISDICTIONS.

4 SO WE THOUGHT IT WOULD BE
5 EDUCATIONAL FOR THE COMMITTEE MEMBERS TO KIND OF
6 HEAR THOSE ITEMS. EVEN THOUGH IT WASN'T
7 NECESSARY, THERE'S NOT CONTROVERSY AROUND THEM, I
8 THINK IT'S JUST A QUESTION OF US BEING AS INFORMED
9 AS POSSIBLE ABOUT HOW THE STAFF AND THOSE
10 JURISDICTIONS WHO ARE WORKING OUT THE ISSUE ARE
11 ACCOMPLISHING THAT.

12 SO THE MOTION WOULD BE TO ACCEPT THE
13 STAFF RECOMMENDATION FOR APPROVAL OF THESE ITEMS
14 AND FORWARD IT TO THE BOARD FOR THE BOARD'S
15 CONSENT AGENDA UNLESS THERE'S ANY REQUESTS FOR
16 ITEMS TO BE PULLED.

17 MEMBER GOTCH: SO MOVED.

18 MEMBER FRAZEE: SECOND.

19 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: IT'S BEEN MOVED AND
20 SECONDED. CAN WE CALL THE ROLL, PLEASE.

21 THE SECRETARY: COMMITTEE MEMBERS FRAZEE.

22 MEMBER FRAZEE: AYE.

23 THE SECRETARY: GOTCH.

24 MEMBER GOTCH: AYE.

25 THE SECRETARY: CHAIRMAN CHESBRO.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: AYE. MOTION CARRIES.

2 WE'RE GOING TO JUMP AROUND A LITTLE
3 BIT IN ORDER KEEP THE STAFF FROM HAVING TO GET UP
4 AND DOWN AND UP AND DOWN. SO WE'RE GOING TO TAKE
5 THE BASE-YEAR ITEMS FIRST AND TAKE THEM
6 CONSECUTIVELY, AND THOSE ITEMS ARE 5, 8, 13, 16,
7 17, AND 20. SO I WILL ASK MS. FRIEDMAN TO
8 INTRODUCE THOSE ITEMS.

9 MS. FRIEDMAN: THOSE ITEMS THAT YOU
10 MENTIONED ARE ITEMS WHERE REVISIONS TO BASE-YEAR
11 OR PROJECTIONS ARE BEING MADE TO JURISDICTIONS'
12 ORIGINAL SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENTS.
13 JOHN SITTS AND CATHERINE CARDOZO OF THE WASTE
14 CHARACTERIZATION AND ANALYSIS BRANCH WILL BE
15 MAKING THE PRESENTATION FOR STAFF.

16 MS. CARDOZO: GOOD MORNING, CHAIRMAN
17 CHESBRO AND COMMITTEE MEMBERS. I'M GOING TO BE
18 DEALING WITH FIVE, ITEM 5, AND THEN JOHN WILL
19 HAVE --

20 MR. SITTS: EIGHT AND 13.

21 MS. CARDOZO: -- AND THEN I'LL COME BACK
22 AGAIN AND DO THE OTHER THREE.

23 ITEM 5 DEALS WITH THE CITY OF
24 FREMONT IN ALAMEDA COUNTY, AND THE BOARD APPROVED
25 THEIR SRRE BACK IN 1995. THE LANDFILL USED BY
THE

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 CITY IN 1990, THEIR BASE YEAR, USED TO KEEP
2 RECORDS OF INCOMING WASTE BY VOLUME BEFORE SCALES
3 WERE INSTALLED IN 1991-92. SINCE THEN THE CITY
4 HAS FOUND THAT THE VOLUME-TO-WEIGHT CONVERSION
5 FACTORS PREVIOUSLY USED HAD OVERESTIMATED THEIR
6 BASE-YEAR DISPOSAL AMOUNT, AND THAT RESULTED IN
7 ARTIFICIALLY HIGH 1995 DIVERSION.

8 SO THEY HAVE, THEREFORE, REQUESTED A
9 REDUCTION TO THEIR PREVIOUSLY APPROVED BASE-YEAR
10 DISPOSAL AMOUNT BASED ON WHAT THEY FEEL TO BE A
11 MORE ACCURATE ESTIMATE. AND BOARD STAFF HAVE
12 DETERMINED THAT THE DOCUMENTATION THEY SUBMITTED
13 SUBSTANTIATES THEIR CLAIM AND RECOMMENDS THAT THE
14 BOARD APPROVE THIS REVISION.

15 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: OKAY. I FAILED TO
16 MENTION EARLIER THAT THERE ARE SPEAKER SLIPS FOR
17 ANYBODY WHO'S HERE TO ADDRESS ANY OF THESE ITEMS.
18 IF YOU COULD FILL IT OUT AND SUBMIT IT TO THE
19 BOARD'S ASSISTANT, KATHY MARSH, I WOULD APPRECIATE
20 IT.

21 BUT I'LL ASK AT THIS POINT IS THERE
22 ANYONE WHO WANTS TO ADDRESS THE COMMITTEE ON THIS?
23 IS THERE ANY DISCUSSION BETWEEN COMMITTEE MEMBERS?
24 IF NOT, I'LL ENTERTAIN A MOTION TO ACCEPT STAFF
25 RECOMMENDATIONS AND ACCEPT THE CORRECTION METHOD

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 FOR BASE-YEAR ADJUSTMENTS FOR THE CITY OF FREMONT
2 AND FORWARD IT TO THE BOARD'S CONSENT CALENDAR.

3 MEMBER FRAZEE: I'LL MOVE RESOLUTION
4 97-158 THAT ACCOMPLISHES THAT.

5 MEMBER GOTCH: AND I'LL SECOND IT.

6 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: IT'S BEEN MOVED AND
7 SECONDED. WE'LL SUBSTITUTE THE PRIOR ROLL CALL.
8 MOTION CARRIES THREE ZERO.

9 AND THE NEXT ITEM IS ITEM 8.

10 MR. SITTS: GOOD MORNING, CHAIRMAN
11 CHESBRO AND COMMITTEE MEMBERS. WELL, ON JANUARY
12 25, 1995, THE BOARD APPROVED THE SRRE FOR THE CITY
13 OF BAKERSFIELD. AND THE BASE-YEAR DISPOSAL
14 TONNAGE WITHIN THAT DOCUMENT WAS ORIGINALLY
15 DETERMINED BY A COUNTYWIDE SOLID WASTE GENERATION
16 STUDY THAT THEY DID IN 1990. AND AT THAT TIME
17 KERN COUNTY LANDFILLS DID NOT USE -- DIDN'T HAVE
18 LANDFILL SCALES.

19 IN 1993 THE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION
20 AUDITED ALL KERN COUNTY LANDFILLS FOR WASTE
21 AMOUNTS DISPOSED BETWEEN 1990 AND 1992. AND THE
22 BOARD OF EQUALIZATION DETERMINED THAT THE METHOD
23 USED BY THE COUNTY TO DETERMINE THE TONNAGE
24 UNDERESTIMATED THE AMOUNT OF DISPOSAL THAT
25 ACTUALLY WAS GOING ON. SO THE DISPOSAL AMOUNTS

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 WERE REVISED BASED ON A MODIFIED METHOD. THE
2 TONNAGES WERE INCREASED, AND THE COUNTY WAS
3 REQUIRED TO PAY ADDITIONAL FEES ON THE INCREASED
4 TONNAGE AMOUNTS FOR DISPOSAL IN THOSE YEARS.

5 SO BASED ON THAT, THE COUNTY'S
6 REVISED THE 1990 TONNAGES FOR ALL KERN COUNTY
7 JURISDICTIONS, AND SO YOU MAY BE SEEING OTHER
8 ITEMS LIKE THIS IN THE FUTURE FOR THE OTHER KERN
9 COUNTY JURISDICTIONS.

10 BUT TODAY WE'RE DEALING WITH
11 BAKERSFIELD, AND THIS BASE-YEAR CHANGE IS NEEDED
12 TO CORRECT THE ORIGINAL DISPOSAL AMOUNT IN THE
13 SRRE TO THE REVISIONS OF THE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION
14 AUDIT FOR THE CITY OF BAKERSFIELD. THE RESULTING
15 CHANGE TO THEIR BASE-YEAR DIVERSION RATE IS LESS
16 THAN 1 PERCENT, AND IT REALLY DOES INCREASE THE
17 ACCURACY OF THEIR BASE-YEAR DATA. SO WE RECOMMEND
18 THAT THE COMMITTEE AND BOARD APPROVE THESE
19 CORRECTIONS.

20 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: OKAY. IS ANYONE HERE
21 TO ADDRESS THE COMMITTEE ON THIS? IF THERE'S NO
22 DISCUSSION OR QUESTIONS FROM COMMITTEE MEMBERS,
23 THE MOTION WILL BE TO ADOPT RESOLUTION --

24 MEMBER GOTCH: I HAVE A QUESTION IF I
25 MAY. WHAT TRIGGERED THE BOE AUDIT? DO WE
KNOW?

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 MR. SITTS: THE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION
2 DOES AUDITS THROUGHOUT THE STATE KIND OF ON A
3 ROTATING BASIS ALMOST. SO THEY'VE AUDITED A LOT
4 OF JURISDICTIONS OR A LOT OF COUNTIES OVER THE
5 YEARS. I'M NOT SURE THAT THERE WAS ANY ONE
6 SPECIFIC THING THAT TRIGGERED THIS ONE.

7 MEMBER GOTCH: LUCK OF THE DRAW. THANKS.

8 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: LIKE THE IRS. OKAY.

9 THE MOTION WOULD BE TO ACCEPT --
10 ADOPT RESOLUTION 97-165 AND ACCEPT STAFF
11 RECOMMENDATIONS FOR APPROVING BASE-YEAR
12 ADJUSTMENTS FOR THE CITY OF BAKERSFIELD AND
13 FORWARD THAT TO THE CONSENT AGENDA OF THE BOARD.

14 MEMBER GOTCH: SO MOVED.

15 MEMBER FRAZEE: SECOND.

16 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: IT'S BEEN MOVED AND
17 SECONDED, AND WE WILL SUBSTITUTE THE PRIOR ROLL
18 CALL. MOTION CARRIES.

19 MOVE ON TO ITEM 13.

20 MR. SITTS: OKAY. ITEM 13, THAT'S THE
21 UNINCORPORATED AREA OF ORANGE COUNTY. ON APRIL
22 25, 1995, THE BOARD APPROVED THE SRRE FOR THE
23 UNINCORPORATED AREA OF ORANGE COUNTY. DURING THE
24 PREPARATION OF AGENDA ITEMS, WE ROUTINELY CORRECT
25 FOR RESTRICTED MATERIALS, WORK WITH JURISDICTIONS

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 ON DIFFERENT TONNAGE ISSUES, AND HAVE TO PUT THEIR
2 DATA INTO A FORMAT WE CAN USE. UNFORTUNATELY,
3 DURING THAT PROCESS, WE INCORRECTLY CALCULATED
4 DISPOSAL AMOUNTS FOR THIS JURISDICTION, AND THOSE
5 AMOUNTS WERE INCLUDED IN THAT ORIGINAL AGENDA
6 ITEM.

7 WE FOUND THOSE ERRORS DURING THE
8 AUDIT THAT WE CONDUCTED ON ALL JURISDICTION
9 BASE-YEARS AND PROJECTION IN PREPARATION FOR THE
10 ANNUAL REPORT REVIEW. THE GOOD NEWS IS THERE WERE
11 FEW ERRORS IN OUR DATABASE, WHICH CONTAIN
12 THOUSANDS OF DATA POINTS FROM OVER 500
13 JURISDICTIONS. SO WE ARE -- STAFF HAS REALLY DONE
14 A GREAT JOB CONQUERING A MOUNTAIN OF DATA.
15 UNFORTUNATELY, WE HAD TO BRING FORWARD ONE WHERE
16 WE DIDN'T QUITE GET IT RIGHT, BUT THIS ONE IS AN
17 EXCEPTION.

18 SO THE ERRORS IN THE PREVIOUS ITEM
19 WERE RELATIVELY SMALL AND WOULDN'T AFFECT THE
20 APPROVAL STATUS. HOWEVER, WE DO WANT TO CORRECT
21 THE TONNAGES FOR ACCURACY SAKE AND SO THAT IT WILL
22 ALLOW FOR MORE CONSISTENT GOAL MEASUREMENT
23 CALCULATIONS. THESE CORRECTIONS CHANGE THE
24 COUNTY'S RATE BY LESS THAN HALF A PERCENT. BUT
25 NOW THE DISPOSAL NUMBERS ARE CORRECT. SO,
AGAIN,

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 WE RECOMMEND THAT THE COMMITTEE AND THE BOARD
2 APPROVE THESE CORRECTIONS.

3 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: OKAY. ANY QUESTIONS
4 OR COMMENTS FROM COMMITTEE MEMBERS? IF NOT, I
5 WOULD ENTERTAIN A MOTION TO ACCEPT THE STAFF
6 RECOMMENDATION AND ADOPT RESOLUTION 97-163,
7 APPROVING THE ADJUSTED BASE-YEAR 1995 AND YEAR
8 2000 PROJECTIONS FOR THE UNINCORPORATED ORANGE
9 COUNTY SRRE AND FORWARD THAT TO THE BOARD'S
10 CONSENT CALENDAR.

11 MEMBER FRAZEE: SO MOVED.

12 MEMBER GOTCH: AND SECONDED.

13 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: IT'S BEEN MOVED AND
14 SECONDED. WE'LL SUBSTITUTE THE PRIOR ROLL CALL.
15 MOTION CARRIES THREE ZERO.

16 AND NEXT WE'LL GO TO ITEM 16.

17 MS. CARDOZO: GOOD MORNING AGAIN. ITEM
18 16 DEALS WITH THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN
19 FRANCISCO, AND THE BOARD ALSO APPROVED THEIR SRRE
20 IN 1995. SAN FRANCISCO HAS RECENTLY SUBMITTED
21 DOCUMENTATION TO SUBSTANTIATE THEIR BASE-YEAR
22 RESTRICTED WASTE DIVERSION CLAIMS AND ALSO
23 BASE-YEAR DISPOSAL OF SLUDGE THAT HAD NOT BEEN
24 INCLUDED IN THEIR SRRE.

25 I WANTED TO COMMEND SAN FRANCISCO

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 WITH THE EFFORT THEY MADE IN THIS DOCUMENTATION
2 THEY PROVIDED ON THE RESTRICTED WASTE. IT WAS NOT
3 ONLY VERY EXTENSIVE, BUT IT WAS ALSO VERY WELL
4 ORGANIZED AND VERY EASY TO FOLLOW WITH THE
5 DOCUMENT IN WHAT IT WAS SUPPOSED TO BE
6 DOCUMENTING. IT WAS A PLEASURE.

7 BOARD STAFF HAS DETERMINED THAT THE
8 DOCUMENTATION SUBMITTED BY THE CITY DOES
9 SUBSTANTIATE THEIR CLAIMS AND RECOMMENDS THAT THE
10 BOARD APPROVE THESE REVISIONS.

11 ALSO THE CITY REQUESTED AN INCREASE
12 TO THEIR BASE-YEAR DIVERSION BY ADDING SLUDGE
13 DIVERSION AMOUNTS. AND WE HAVE DISCUSSED THAT
14 WITH THE CITY OF SAN FRANCISCO CITY/COUNTY, THAT
15 THEY WILL HAVE TO GO THROUGH THE PETITION PROCESS
16 FOR THIS DIVERSION AMOUNT. AND THEY UNDERSTAND
17 THAT.

18 AND WE WILL BE PRESENTING TO YOU AND
19 THE BOARD THE REVISION TO THEIR AMOUNT BECAUSE OF
20 THE SLUDGE DIVERSION ONCE THE PETITION PROCESS IS
21 FINISHED.

22 ANY QUESTIONS?

23 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: ANY QUESTIONS? I NOTE
24 THAT THERE ARE REPRESENTATIVES OF THE CITY AND
25 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO HERE. WOULD YOU LIKE TO

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 ADDRESS THE COMMITTEE? NO. OKAY. LEAVE WELL
2 ENOUGH ALONE. OKAY.

3 WE HAVE A POSITIVE RECOMMENDATION
4 FROM STAFF TO ACCEPT -- TO ADOPT RESOLUTION
5 97-157, APPROVING THE BASE-YEAR ADJUSTMENTS FOR
6 THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO AND FORWARD
7 IT TO THE BOARD'S CONSENT CALENDAR

8 MEMBER GOTCH: SO MOVED.

9 MEMBER FRAZEE: SECOND.

10 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: IT'S BEE MOVED AND
11 SECONDED. WE WILL SUBSTITUTE THE PRIOR ROLL
CALL.

12 MOTION CARRIES THREE ZERO.

13 AND THAT TAKES US TO ITEM 17.

14 MS. CARDOZO: ITEM 17 DEALS WITH THE
CITY

15 OF MANTECA IN SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY. AND THE BOARD
16 APPROVED THEIR SRRE LAST MONTH. AND THE CITY
HAS

17 SUBMITTED ADEQUATE DOCUMENTATION SINCE THEN TO
18 SUBSTANTIATE AGAIN A BASE-YEAR RESTRICTED WASTE
19 DIVERSION CLAIM. AND STAFF BELIEVES IT'S
ADEQUATE

20 TO SUBSTANTIATE THEIR CLAIM, SO WE RECOMMEND
BOARD

21 APPROVAL OF THE REVISIONS TO THEIR BASE YEAR.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

22 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: OKAY. ANY QUESTIONS

23 OR COMMENTS? THE MOTION WOULD BE TO ACCEPT

STAFF

24 RECOMMENDATION AND ADOPT RESOLUTION 97-155,

25 APPROVING BASE-YEAR ADJUSTMENTS FOR THE CITY OF

1 MANTECA AND FORWARD THAT TO THE CONSENT CALENDAR
2 AT THE BOARD MEETING.

3 MEMBER FRAZEE: SO MOVE.

4 MEMBER GOTCH: SECONDED.

5 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: IT'S BEEN MOVED AND
6 SECONDED. WE'LL SUBSTITUTE THE PRIOR ROLL CALL.
7 MOTION CARRIES THREE ZERO.

8 AND THAT TAKES US TO ITEM 20.

9 MS. CARDOZO: AND ITEM 20 DEALS WITH THE
10 CITY OF MODESTO IN STANISLAUS COUNTY. AND THE
11 BOARD APPROVED THEIR SRRE ALSO IN 1995. THE CITY
12 HAS REQUESTED THE BOARD TO INCREASE THEIR BASE-
13 YEAR DIVERSION BECAUSE OF SOME GRASSCYCLING
14 ACTIVITIES THAT THEY HAD DISCUSSED IN THEIR
15 ORIGINAL SRRE, BUT HAD NOT SUBSTANTIATED HOW THEY
16 CAME UP WITH THE NUMBERS, SO IT HADN'T BEEN
17 COUNTED WHEN WE ORIGINALLY APPROVED THEIR SRRE.

18 SINCE THEN THEY HAVE SUBMITTED
19 DOCUMENTATION TO SUBSTANTIATE THAT, AND STAFF HAS
20 DETERMINED THAT IT SUBSTANTIATES THEIR CLAIMS AND
21 RECOMMENDS THE BOARD APPROVE THE REVISION TO
22 INCREASE THEIR BASE-YEAR DIVERSION AMOUNTS.

23 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: OKAY. ARE THERE ANY
24 QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS ON ITEM 20? THE MOTION
25 WOULD BE TO ACCEPT STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS TO ADOPT

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 RESOLUTION 97-161.

2 MEMBER GOTCH: I HAVE 156.

3 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: 156. AM I ON THE
4 WRONG ONE HERE? I JUMPED AHEAD. SEE, YOU THREW
5 ME, TRACIE. WHERE AM I?

6 156, AND APPROVE THOSE BASE-YEAR
7 ADJUSTMENTS AND FORWARD THEM TO THE BOARD'S
8 CONSENT CALENDAR.

9 MEMBER GOTCH: SO MOVED.

10 MEMBER FRAZEE: SECOND.

11 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: IT'S BEEN MOVED AND
12 SECONDED. WE WILL SUBSTITUTE THE PRIOR ROLL CALL.
13 MOTION CARRIES. SO THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

14 GOING BACK TO SAN FRANCISCO FOR A
15 MOMENT, I WANTED TO MAKE SURE THAT THE COMMITTEE
16 MEMBERS UNDERSTOOD THAT THE SLUDGE PROCESS THAT
17 STAFF WAS TALKING ABOUT HAS -- APPROVAL FOR A
18 DIVERSION CREDIT FOR SLUDGE IS A SEPARATE TRACK
19 THAT REQUIRES SIGN-OFF BY SOME OTHER STATE
20 AGENCIES. AND SO IT WILL BE A LITTLE MORE
21 COMPLEX, UNFORTUNATELY, FOR SAN FRANCISCO IN THIS
22 CASE TO GET THAT, BUT THEY ARE PURSUING THAT.

23 AND THAT WAS A SEPARATE LEGISLATIVE
24 FIX THAT HAPPENED SOME YEARS AGO TO SORT OF
25 BALANCE OUT THE CONCERNS ABOUT THE SAFETY OF

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 SLUDGE, MAKING SURE THAT THOSE WERE TAKEN INTO
2 ACCOUNT, WHILE ALSO ALLOWING LOCAL JURISDICTIONS
3 TO COUNT IT WHEN THEY ARE, IN FACT, DIVERTING IT.

4 OKAY. THANKS VERY MUCH.

5 GOING BACK TO THE REGULAR ORDER OF
6 THE AGENDA FOR THOSE ITEMS THAT ARE LEFT, THE NEXT
7 ITEM IS ITEM 4, WHICH IS CONSIDERATION OF THE
8 APPROVAL OF THE LOCAL ASSISTANCE PLAN.

9 WHILE STAFF IS COMING UP, LET ME SAY
10 THAT THIS IS A FIRST ROUGH DRAFT FOR CIRCULATION,
11 BUT I'D REALLY LIKE TO COMPLIMENT STAFF ON GETTING
12 THEIR SHOULDER TO THE WHEEL AND GETTING OUT THERE
13 AND DOING A GOOD JOB OF GETTING THIS THING GOING.
14 I'M SURE IT'S GOING TO EXPERIENCE SUBSTANTIAL
15 INPUT AND ADDITION, REVISION, WHATEVER AS A RESULT
16 OF BOTH BOARD REVIEW BY THE BOARD MEMBERS
17 THEMSELVES AND BY THE AFFECTED PARTIES, THE LOCAL
18 GOVERNMENTS AND THEIR ORGANIZATIONS, LIKE THE
19 COUNCIL OF RURAL COUNTIES AND THE LEAGUE OF CITIES
20 AND CSAC.

21 WITH THAT, I WILL TURN IT OVER TO
22 MS. FRIEDMAN.

23 MS. FRIEDMAN: YES. JUST A BRIEF
24 INTRODUCTION. AT ITS MARCH MEETING, THE LOCAL
25 ASSISTANCE AND PLANNING COMMITTEE DIRECTED
STAFF

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 TO PREPARE A DRAFT LOCAL ASSISTANCE PLAN AND
2 PRESENT IT TO THE COMMITTEE THIS MONTH. THE
3 PROPOSED DRAFT PLAN IS A STRATEGY TO ASSIST LOCAL
4 GOVERNMENTS TO MEET THEIR YEAR 2000 DISPOSAL
5 REDUCTION MANDATES.

6 WITH THAT, I'D LIKE TO TURN THE
7 PRESENTATION OVER TO BILL HUSTON WITH THE OFFICE
8 OF LOCAL ASSISTANCE, WHO WILL PRESENT THIS ITEM
9 FOR STAFF.

10 MR. HUSTON: GOOD MORNING. I AM PLEASED
11 TO PRESENT THE FIRST DRAFT OF THE BOARD'S FIRST
12 LOCAL ASSISTANCE PLAN TO THE COMMITTEE THIS
13 MORNING. AS YOU KNOW, CALIFORNIA JURISDICTIONS
14 HAVE MADE SIGNIFICANT PROGRESS TOWARD ACHIEVING
15 THEIR DISPOSAL REDUCTION REQUIREMENTS. WE SAW A
16 26-PERCENT REDUCTION STATEWIDE FOR 1995, AND WE
17 HAVE ESTIMATED NEARLY A 30-PERCENT REDUCTION FOR
18 1996.

19 THE 1995 REDUCTION HAS REDUCED THE
20 AMOUNT OF LANDFILL DISPOSAL BY AN ESTIMATED 12
21 MILLION TONS. MANY COMMUNITIES WERE ABLE TO NOT
22 ONLY ACHIEVE THEIR 25-PERCENT 1995 DISPOSAL
23 REDUCTION, BUT WERE ABLE TO GREATLY EXCEED IT.

24 OTHERS, HOWEVER, FELL WELL SHORT OF THEIR GOAL.

25 AS A RESULT, COMMUNITIES THROUGHOUT

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 THE STATE FACE VERY DIFFERENT NEEDS AND CHALLENGES
2 TO REACH THEIR YEAR 2000 DISPOSAL REDUCTION
3 REQUIREMENTS. MOST HAVE COMPLETED THEIR PLANNING
4 REQUIREMENTS AND ARE MOVING STEADILY TOWARD
5 IMPLEMENTING THEIR SELECTED PROGRAMS. OTHERS
6 RECOGNIZE THEY MUST DO SUBSTANTIALLY MORE OVER THE
7 NEXT THREE TO FOUR YEARS IN ORDER TO REACH THEIR
8 REQUIREMENTS BY 2000.

9 A FEW ARE STILL FOCUSED ON THEIR
10 PLANNING EFFORTS AND NEED SOME GUIDANCE TO SELECT
11 THE PROGRAMS TO IMPLEMENT AT LOWEST POSSIBLE COST.
12 ALL ARE REVIEWING THEIR PROGRAM FUNDING,
13 ESPECIALLY IN LIGHT OF THE PASSAGE OF PROP 218,
14 AND TO REACH THEIR 50-PERCENT DISPOSAL REDUCTION,
15 THEIR RESOURCES NEEDS TO IMPLEMENT AND EXPAND
16 THEIR CURRENT EFFORTS.

17 AT THE TIME THE JURISDICTIONS ARE
18 REQUESTING AND NEEDING ADDITIONAL, MORE VARIED
19 ASSISTANCE, THE BOARD IS FACING RESOURCE
20 SITUATIONS OF ITS OWN. THE DEMANDS ON AVAILABLE
21 RESOURCES ARE EXPANDING WHILE THE BOARD'S ABILITY
22 TO PROVIDE ALL THE REQUESTED SERVICES IS
23 DIMINISHING.

24 THIS LOCAL ASSISTANCE PLAN
25 IDENTIFIES THE ASSISTANCE WHICH HAS BEEN REQUESTED

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 BY JURISDICTIONS, THE NEEDS OF THE BOARD REGARDING
2 PROGRAM PLANNING, IMPLEMENTATION, AND ENFORCEMENT,
3 AND THE CRITERIA THE BOARD COULD USE OVER THE NEXT
4 FEW YEARS TO PRIORITIZE REQUESTS AND ASSIST LOCAL
5 GOVERNMENTS.

6 GENERALLY THE PLAN SETS DIRECTION
7 FOR BOARD LOCAL ASSISTANCE AND STRONGLY ENCOURAGES
8 THE FORMATION OF PARTNERSHIPS, COORDINATED
9 ASSISTANCE, AND INTEGRATED OUTREACH, AS WELL AS
10 BOARD REVIEW OF JURISDICTION NEEDS, CURRENT
11 POLICIES, REGULATIONS, AND LEGISLATION TO
12 CONTINUALLY STREAMLINE, CLARIFY, AND SIMPLIFY
13 LOCAL GOVERNMENT REQUIREMENTS.

14 CALIFORNIA JURISDICTIONS ENCOMPASS A
15 WIDE RANGE OF GEOGRAPHIC, DEMOGRAPHIC, AND
16 ECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS. SOME HAVE FULL-TIME
17 STAFF TO PLAN, IMPLEMENT, AND MONITOR THEIR
18 INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT PROGRAMS. OTHERS HAVE
19 VERY LIMITED FUNDING AND ONLY PART-TIME WASTE
20 MANAGEMENT STAFF. SOME ARE VERY WELL POSITIONED
21 TO REACH THEIR 2000 DISPOSAL REDUCTION AND NEED
22 ONLY LIMITED ASSISTANCE. A FEW, THOUGH, NEED
23 SUBSTANTIAL ASSISTANCE.

24 NOT ONLY DO THE JURISDICTIONS HAVE
25 VARYING NEEDS, BUT THOSE NEEDS VARY OVER TIME.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 THE DRAFT PLAN LISTS FOUR GENERAL AREAS OF
2 ASSISTANCE REQUESTED BY JURISDICTIONS. THOSE
3 BEING PLANNING ASSISTANCE, IMPLEMENTATION
4 ASSISTANCE, REGULATORY ASSISTANCE, AND FUNDING
5 ASSISTANCE.

6 AN ONGOING CHALLENGE FOR THE BOARD
7 IS TO CONTINUALLY ASSESS AND IDENTIFY THE
8 JURISDICTIONS' NEEDS AND RESPOND ACCORDINGLY.

9 WHILE THE LOCAL JURISDICTIONS HAVE
10 IDENTIFIED ASSISTANCE NEED, THE STATE TOO HAS
11 CERTAIN NEEDS AROUND LOCAL ASSISTANCE. THE BOARD
12 IS CHARGED WITH BRINGING THE STATE INTO COMPLIANCE
13 WITH THE INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT ACT. STATUTE
14 REQUIRES THE BOARD, TO THE EXTENT POSSIBLE, TO
15 ASSIST LOCAL AGENCIES IN THE PREPARATION, MODIFI-
16 CATION, AND IMPLEMENTATION OF WASTE MANAGEMENT
17 PROGRAMS.

18 THE JURISDICTIONS' ANNUAL REPORTS
19 AND ELEMENT REVISIONS, WE BELIEVE, WILL PROVIDE
20 THE INFORMATION NEEDED BY THE BOARD TO MEET ITS
21 LEGISLATIVE REQUIREMENTS.

22 THE STRATEGIES THAT THE BOARD WILL
23 PURSUE TO ASSIST LOCAL GOVERNMENTS WERE SELECTED
24 WITH THE FOLLOWING STRATEGIC PLAN GOAL IN VIEW:
25 TO ENSURE LOCAL -- EXCUSE ME -- TO ENSURE EACH

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 LOCAL JURISDICTION MEETS AND MAINTAINS ITS
2 INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT PLANNING AND DISPOSAL
3 REDUCTION AT LOWEST POSSIBLE COST.

4 STAFF USED FOUR CRITERIA TO DEVELOP
5 LOCAL ASSISTANCE OPTIONS AND DEVELOP THE
6 RECOMMENDED STRATEGIES. THE FIRST, BENEFIT TO
7 ASSIST LOCAL JURISDICTIONS REACH 2000 DISPOSAL
8 REDUCTIONS. THIS WAS BASICALLY TO PROVIDE THE
9 NEEDED ASSISTANCE. THE COST AND TIME SAVINGS TO
10 JURISDICTIONS TO REACH DISPOSAL REDUCTION
11 REQUIREMENTS ESSENTIALLY AT LOWEST POSSIBLE COST.
12 NO. 3, BOARD RESOURCE AVAILABILITY. THAT WAS TO
13 RECOGNIZE THE BOARD MUST PRIORITIZE AND TARGET ITS
14 EFFORTS. AND FINALLY, JURISDICTION NONCOMPLIANCE
15 WITH REQUIREMENTS TO PROVIDE NOT ONLY THE
16 ASSISTANCE, BUT ALSO THE INCENTIVE TO REACH
17 DISPOSAL REDUCTION REQUIREMENTS.

18 THE PLAN SUGGESTS THAT LOCAL
19 ASSISTANCE BE BASED ON TWO CONCEPTS. ASSISTANCE
20 WHICH WILL BENEFIT A LARGE GROUP OF JURISDICTIONS
21 THROUGH GENERIC BUT VERY TARGETED INFORMATION AND
22 PROGRAMS AND, SECONDLY, A TRIAGE ASSESSMENT BY
23 BOARD STAFF WHERE THOSE JURISDICTIONS NEEDING
24 IMMEDIATE AND EXTENSIVE ASSISTANCE WILL BE SERVED
25 FIRST, AND THOSE WITH APPROVED PLANS AND MEETING

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 DISPOSAL REDUCTION REQUIREMENTS WILL BE MONITORED
2 THROUGH THE ANNUAL REPORTS AND REPORTED TO THE
3 BOARD THROUGH THE BIENNIAL REVIEW PROCESS.

4 JURISDICTIONS NOT MEETING PLANNING,
5 IMPLEMENTATION, OR REPORTING REQUIREMENTS WOULD BE
6 REVIEWED BY THE BOARD FOR POSSIBLE ENFORCEMENT
7 ACTION.

8 THE DRAFT PLAN HIGHLIGHTS THE
9 APPROVED CONCEPTS FROM THE BOARD'S 50-PERCENT
10 INITIATIVE WORK AND IDENTIFIES OTHER GENERIC
11 ASSISTANCE WHICH COULD BE TARGETED: SIMPLIFY AND
12 STREAMLINE PROGRAM REQUIREMENTS, SIMPLIFY THE
13 PROCESS TO REQUEST PETITIONS, REDUCE PLAN
14 PREPARATION REQUIREMENTS, FORM SOLID WASTE
15 ASSISTANT TEAMS COMPRISED OF BOARD STAFF WITH
16 DIVERSION, WASTE PREVENTION, MARKET
DEVELOPMENT,

17 COMPOSTING, HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE, USED
OIL,
18 ETC., ETC., EXPERTISE TO PROVIDE INTEGRATED,
19 COMPREHENSIVE INFORMATION AND ASSISTANCE,
CONDUCT

20 TRAINING AND WORKSHOPS ON THE USE OF BOARD
21 PRODUCED PRODUCTS, INCLUDING MODELS,
EVALUATION

22 TECHNIQUES, AND DIVERSION PROGRAM SELECTION,

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

AND

23 PREPARE A COMPILATION OF PROGRAM FUNDING

OPTIONS.

24 THE JURISDICTION SPECIFIC LOCAL

25 ASSISTANCE COULD BE PRIORITIZED IN THE
FOLLOWING

1 ORDER. NO. 1, JURISDICTIONS WITHOUT APPROVED
2 PLANNING ELEMENTS TO GET THEM STARTED. SECOND
3 PRIORITY, JURISDICTIONS NOT IMPLEMENTING APPROVED
4 PROGRAMS AND NOT MEETING DISPOSAL REDUCTION
5 REQUIREMENTS BASICALLY TO ASSIST THEM TO GET
6 STARTED ON ACTUALLY IMPLEMENTING THEIR DIVERSION
7 ACTIVITIES. AND NO. 3, JURISDICTIONS IMPLEMENTING
8 APPROVED PROGRAMS, BUT NOT MEETING DISPOSAL
9 REDUCTION REQUIREMENTS. THEY PROBABLY NEED SOME
10 FINE-TUNING OF THE PROGRAMS THAT THEY'VE ALREADY
11 IMPLEMENTED OR PERHAPS ASSISTANCE IN SELECTING
12 DIFFERENT PROGRAMS THAT ARE MORE EFFECTIVE.

13 ONLY LIMITED ASSISTANCE WOULD BE
14 AVAILABLE TO JURISDICTIONS MEETING THEIR DISPOSAL
15 REDUCTIONS BASED UPON STAFF'S ANNUAL REVIEW OF
16 DIVERSION SUCCESS. THE ASSISTANCE WILL BE
17 SPECIFICALLY TARGETED TO THE IMMEDIATE NEEDS OF
18 THE JURISDICTION AND WILL BE ASSESSED AND
19 IMPLEMENTED WITH THE COOPERATION AND ASSISTANCE
20 FROM THE JURISDICTION. ASSISTANCE WILL CONTINUE
21 UNTIL DISPOSAL REDUCTIONS ARE MET.

22 EXAMPLES OF AVAILABLE ASSISTANCE ARE
23 LISTED IN THE PLAN'S ATTACHMENT, AND COPIES OF THE
24 PLAN WITH THE ATTACHMENT ARE ON THE BACK TABLE IF
25 ANYBODY WOULD LIKE TO GET A COPY BEFORE THEY

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 LEAVE.

2 THE COMMITTEE HAS SEVERAL OPTIONS TO
3 CONSIDER TODAY. SINCE THE PLAN HAS NOT BEEN
4 REVIEWED BY THE BOARD'S LOCAL GOVERNMENT TECHNICAL
5 ADVISORY COMMITTEE AND OTHER INTERESTED PARTIES,
6 STAFF RECOMMENDS THE DRAFT PLAN BE RELEASED FOR
7 PUBLIC COMMENT AND RETURNED TO THE COMMITTEE IN
8 JULY FOR FURTHER CONSIDERATION.

9 THIS COMPLETES MY PRESENTATION.
10 I'LL BE HAPPY TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS YOU MIGHT
11 HAVE OR GET ADDITIONAL DIRECTION FROM THE
12 COMMITTEE.

13 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: OKAY. I HAVE A NUMBER
14 OF COMMENTS I WANTED TO MAKE, AND THEN I'LL OPEN
15 IT UP TO COMMITTEE MEMBERS AND ANY MEMBER OF THE
16 PUBLIC WHO WOULD LIKE TO ADDRESS THIS.

17 FIRST OF ALL, LOCAL ASSISTANCE IS
18 OBVIOUSLY NOT NEW. IT'S BEEN PART AND PARCEL AND
19 CRITICAL PART OF WHAT WE'VE BEEN DOING SINCE THIS
20 BOARD WAS CREATED. AND IT'S BEEN -- THE APPROACH
21 OF PROVIDING ASSISTANCE IS ONE OF THE UNIQUE
22 THINGS ABOUT THIS BOARD'S RELATIONSHIP WITH LOCAL
23 GOVERNMENTS AS OPPOSED TO OTHER STATE REGULATORY
24 PROCESSES, AND I THINK IT'S BEEN THE KEY TO OUR
25 SUCCESS SO FAR IN GETTING THE VAST MAJORITY OF

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 LOCAL GOVERNMENTS TO COMPLY, GETTING THEM TO DO
2 THE PLANNING DOCUMENTS, GET THEM IN, BEGIN
3 IMPLEMENTING PROGRAMS, AND FOR THE MOST PART
4 ACHIEVE THE DIVERSION GOALS.

5 AND SO I THINK THIS PLAN IS REALLY A
6 CONTINUATION OF WHAT WE'VE BEEN DOING, BUT ALSO
7 REFOCUSING OF OUR ASSISTANCE EFFORTS TOWARDS
8 IMPLEMENTATION TO GET BEYOND JUST PLANNING
9 ASSISTANCE, BUT ALSO TALK ABOUT WHAT -- HOW CAN
10 THE BOARD BEST BE FACILITATING THE STEPS THAT
11 FOLLOW THE PLANNING PROCESS. WE'VE HAD, I THINK,
12 A VERY GOOD PLANNING AND REGULATORY ASSISTANCE
13 PROGRAM, AND NOW THERE'S SOME ADDITIONAL STEPS
14 THAT ARE NEEDED.

15 AND THE OTHER THING THAT I THINK IS
16 MOST IMPORTANT ABOUT IT AND THAT STAFF HAS AT
17 LEAST PRELIMINARILY DONE A GOOD JOB OF HERE IS
18 PRIORITIZING ASSISTANCE. SINCE THE BOARD'S
19 RESOURCES ARE SHRINKING, TRYING TO FIGURE OUT HOW
20 WE CAN DO AS GOOD A JOB AS POSSIBLE WITH THE
21 LIMITED RESOURCES OF GETTING THE ASSISTANCE IN THE
22 HANDS OF THE JURISDICTIONS THAT MOST NEED IT AS
23 OPPOSED TO WHAT WE'VE BEEN ABLE TO AFFORD TO DO IN
24 THE PAST, WHICH IS VERY BROAD KINDS OF EDUCATION
25 AND ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS THAT GET OUT THERE AND DO

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 A LOT OF GOOD, BUT DON'T NECESSARILY GET TO THE
2 JURISDICTIONS THAT ARE MOST IN NEED OF IT.

3 THE OTHER ISSUE THAT I THINK WE'RE
4 GOING TO STRUGGLE WITH A LITTLE BIT IS INTEGRATION
5 OF THIS EFFORT WITH EXISTING BOARD PROGRAMS AND
6 PLANS THAT ARE IN OTHER DIVISIONS AND UNDER OTHER
7 COMMITTEES' JURISDICTIONS. THERE'S THE NEED TO,
8 ON THE ONE HAND, MAKE SURE THAT THIS IS CONSISTENT
9 WITH AND INTEGRATED WITH OUR STRATEGIC PLAN, WITH
10 THE MARKET DEVELOPMENT PLAN, AND WITH ALL THE
11 OTHER INITIATIVES THAT THE BOARD HAS IN OTHER
12 AREAS WHILE AT THE SAME TIME NOT CREATING
13 DUPLICATION, NOT ATTEMPTING TO REINVENT ANYTHING
14 THAT'S ALREADY BEEN DISCUSSED AND ALREADY IS
15 WORKING IN OTHER AREAS OUTSIDE OF THE SPECIFIC
16 PROGRAM AREA THAT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT HERE. SO
17 WE'RE GOING TO NEED, I THINK, TO WORK VERY HARD AT
18 DOING THAT. I THINK WE'VE GOT A GOOD FIRST CUT AT
19 IT HERE, BUT THERE'S NEED TO BALANCE THOSE TWO.

20 AS STAFF HAS INDICATED, THE CRITICAL
21 NEXT STEP IS TO CIRCULATE THIS TO LOCAL
22 GOVERNMENTS, TO LOCAL GOVERNMENT TECHNICAL
23 ADVISORY COMMITTEE, TO LOCAL GOVERNMENT
24 ORGANIZATIONS SUCH AS THE REGIONAL COUNCIL OF
25 RURAL COUNTIES AND THE LEAGUE OF CITIES AND CSAC

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 AND SWANA IN THE HOPES OF GETTING THE KIND OF
2 FEEDBACK TO MAKE SURE THAT WE'RE ON TARGET, THAT
3 WE'RE NOT JUST DREAMING UP WHAT WE THINK WILL WORK
4 AT THE STATE, THAT WE'RE ACTUALLY PROVIDING SOME
5 SERVICES AND APPROACHES THAT LOCAL GOVERNMENTS
6 FIND WILL BE USEFUL TO THEM. AND I THINK THAT'S
7 GOING TO BE -- FOR IT TO BE -- FOR THIS TO REALLY
8 BE A WORKING PLAN THAT'S GOING TO SUCCEED AT WHAT
9 WE WANT TO ACHIEVE, I THINK THAT'S THE CRITICAL
10 NEXT STEP IN THIS PROCESS. SO THOSE ARE MY
11 COMMENTS.

12 I WOULD WELCOME ANY QUESTIONS OR
13 COMMENTS FROM MY COLLEAGUES. IS THERE ANYONE HERE
14 WHO WOULD LIKE TO ADDRESS THE COMMITTEE AT THIS
15 POINT ON THIS ITEM? APPARENTLY NOT, SO I WILL ASK
16 THE COMMITTEE TO -- I DON'T ACTUALLY HAVE THE
17 STAFF RECOMMENDATION AT MY -- TIP OF MY TONGUE,
18 BUT I KNOW IT IS TO CIRCULATE THIS DOCUMENT TO
19 LGTAC AND LOCAL GOVERNMENTS --
LET'S SEE HERE. I

20 THINK IT'S RECOMMENDATION 3,
DIRECT STAFF TO
21 RELEASE THE PROPOSED PLAN WITH OR
WITHOUT
22 MODIFICATIONS TO THE PUBLIC FOR

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

COMMENT, REVISE

23 THE PLAN IF APPROPRIATE, AND

PRESENT THE PROPOSED

24 PLAN TO THE COMMITTEE AT THE JULY

COMMITTEE

25 MEETING. AND THAT WILL BE THE
RECOMMENDATION I

1 WOULD ASK THE COMMITTEE TO ADOPT.

2 MEMBER GOTCH: SO MOVED.

3 MEMBER FRAZEE: SECOND.

4 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: IT'S BEEN MOVED AND
5 SECONDED. ONCE AGAIN, I'D LIKE TO THANK STAFF FOR
6 DOING A GREAT JOB OF PUTTING THIS TOGETHER. WE'LL
7 SUBSTITUTE THE PRIOR ROLL CALL, AND THE MOTION
8 CARRIES THREE ZERO.

9 MS. FRIEDMAN: I JUST HAVE A POINT OF
10 CLARIFICATION. ARE YOU ASKING THIS TO GO TO THE
11 BOARD FOR --

12 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: I DON'T THINK IT NEEDS
13 TO THIS MONTH UNLESS OTHER BOARD MEMBERS HAVE
14 EXPRESSED, YOU KNOW, AN INTEREST AT THIS POINT
15 IN -- I'M GOING AROUND TRYING TO BRIEF AND I WOULD
16 ENCOURAGE YOU TO DO THE SAME THING, JUDY, YOU OR
17 YOUR STAFF, TO MAKE SURE THAT BOARD MEMBERS WHO
18 ARE NOT ON THIS COMMITTEE HAVE FULL OPPORTUNITY TO
19 BE UP TO SPEED ON IT. THAT WOULD BE THE ONLY REAL
20 REASON TO BRING IT TO THE BOARD WOULD BE TO, AT
21 THIS POINT, TO MAKE SURE THAT THEY KNOW ABOUT IT,
22 BUT I THINK THAT GIVES IT TOO HIGH A PROFILE WHEN
23 IT'S REALLY ONLY A DRAFT. I THINK WE NEED TO GET
24 IT IN THE HANDS OF LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AND THEIR
25 REPRESENTATIVES. IS THERE
CONCURRENCE ON THAT?

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 MEMBER FRAZEE: YES.

2 MEMBER GOTCH: YEAH.

3 MS. FRIEDMAN: JUST WANTED TO CLARIFY.

4 MEMBER GOTCH: MAY I BRING TO YOUR
5 ATTENTION TWO SMALL TYPOS?

6 MS. FRIEDMAN: YOU SURE MAY.

7 MEMBER GOTCH: ON PAGE 12, UNDER C, THE
8 THIRD BULLET DOWN, I BELIEVE SHOULD READ "ASSIST
9 SCHOOL DISTRICTS AND JURISDICTIONS'

PARTICIPATION"

10 RATHER THAN PARTICIPATE.

11 AND THE NEXT BULLET, IT READS

12 "EFFORTS AND SHARE SUCCESSFUL PROGRAM PROGRAMS."

13 YOU WANT TO DELETE THE PROGRAM, THE FIRST
PROGRAM.

14 MS. FRIEDMAN: DON'T WANT A PROGRAM
15 PROGRAM. THANK YOU. I APPRECIATE THAT.

16 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: OKAY. LIKE WE SAID,
17 IT'S KIND OF A ROUGH DRAFT.

18 THE FINAL ACTION ITEM ON TODAY'S
19 AGENDA, I BELIEVE, IS ITEM 7, WHICH IS
20 CONSIDERATION OF THE STAFF RECOMMENDATION ON THE
21 ADEQUACY OF THE NONDISPOSAL FACILITY ELEMENT FOR
22 THE CITY OF FOWLER IN FRESNO COUNTY.

23 MS. FRIEDMAN: YES. TABETHA WILLMON
WILL

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 MAKE THE PRESENTATION FOR STAFF.

25 MS. WILLMON: MORNING, CHAIRMAN
CHESBRO,

1 COMMITTEE MEMBERS. ITEM 7 IS CONSIDERATION OF
2 STAFF RECOMMENDATION ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE NDFE
3 OR NONDISPOSAL FACILITY ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF
4 FOWLER IN FRESNO COUNTY. STAFF ARE RECOMMENDING A
5 CONDITIONAL APPROVAL FOR THE NDFE FOR FOWLER FOR
6 THE FOLLOWING REASON: THE CITY OF FOWLER
7 IDENTIFIED IN ITS BOARD APPROVED SRRE THE
8 CONSTRUCTION AND USE OF A REGIONAL MATERIAL
9 RECOVERY FACILITY AND COMPOSTING FACILITY AS A
10 MEANS TO MEET THE 25- AND 50-PERCENT DIVERSION
11 GOALS.

12 AS YOU ARE AWARE, THE NDFE IS AN
13 ELEMENT WHICH SPECIFICALLY IDENTIFIES THOSE
14 NONDISPOSAL FACILITIES WHICH THE JURISDICTION WILL
15 USE TO ACHIEVE THEIR GOALS. HOWEVER, THE CITY OF
16 FOWLER'S NDFE FAILED TO IDENTIFY EITHER AN
17 EXISTING OR A PLANNED COMPOSTING FACILITY.

18 STAFF ARE RECOMMENDING THAT THE
19 COMMITTEE CONDITIONALLY APPROVE FOWLER'S NDFE WITH
20 THE CONDITION BEING THAT THE CITY ADDRESS THIS
21 DISCREPANCY IN THEIR FIRST ANNUAL REPORT TO THE
22 BOARD. THIS CONCLUDES MY PRESENTATION.

23 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: SO THERE IS A
24 COMPOSTING FACILITY?

25 MS. WILLMON: THEY IDENTIFIED ONE IN

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 THEIR SRRE, THE USE OF ONE, TO GET TO THE 25- AND
2 50-PERCENT GOALS, BUT THE NDFE DOESN'T SHOW THAT.
3 SO WE ARE ASKING THEM TO ADDRESS IT IN THE ANNUAL
4 REPORT AND EITHER AMEND THEIR NDFE OR AMEND THEIR
5 SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT TO EITHER
6 INCLUDE IT OR NOT INCLUDE IT, WHICHEVER.

7 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: OKAY. QUESTIONS?
8 COMMENTS? NO ONE IS HERE FROM FOWLER.

9 WE WILL -- I WILL ENTERTAIN A MOTION
10 TO ACCEPT STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION TO ADOPT
11 RESOLUTION 97-192, CONDITIONALLY APPROVING THE
12 NDFE FOR THE CITY OF FOWLER AND FORWARD THAT TO
13 THE BOARD'S CONSENT CALENDAR.

14 MEMBER GOTCH: SO MOVED.

15 MEMBER FRAZEE: SECOND.

16 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: IT'S BEEN MOVED AND
17 SECONDED. WE'LL SUBSTITUTE THE PRIOR ROLL CALL.
18 MOTION PASSES THREE ZERO. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

19 ARE THERE ANY OTHER COMMENTS, ANYONE
20 WISHING TO ADDRESS THE COMMITTEE AT THIS POINT?

21 ANY COMMITTEE MEMBERS'

COMMENTS? IF NOT, WE WILL

22 ADJOURN. THANK YOU.

23

24 (THE MEETING

WAS THEN ADJOURNED AT

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

25 10:25 A.M.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

